

ECOS Facility Meeting

MINUTES

DATE:	16/05/2013	OBJECT:	Facility Meeting – 2013/05/16
N/REF:	ENSAR-ECOS/2013.01	PLACE:	Orsay, France

INSTITUTION	IFJ PAN	CNRS	GSI	INFN	JYU	GANIL
PRESENT	B. Fornal N. Cieplicka	F. Azaiez D. Verney A. Said	D. Ackermann Y. Leifels U. Scheeler	D. Rifuggiato E. Fagotti	R. Julin P. Heikkinen	F. Chautard K. Turzó
EXCUSED	A. Maj			G. De Angelis		M. Lewitowicz
DISTRIBUTION:	PARTICIPANTS A	ND NON PARTICIPANT	S			

INSTITUTION	Warsaw Univ.	Huelva Univ.			
PRESENT	P. Napiorkowski J. Jastrzebski	I. Martel A. Villari			
EXCUSED					
DISTRIBUTION:	PARTICIPANTS A	ND NON PARTICIPANT	ſS		

N°	TOPIC	SPEAKER	
1	ALTO	A Said	
	See corresponding presentation.		
	¹⁴ C is used as powder source. One experiment was already performed with thi source. ¹⁴ C: expected intensity = 100 nA		
	Collaboration between Orsay and Legnaro for the beam development.		
	In Legnaro, they develop a solid ¹⁴ C source with UCx. Problem: no company to produce it.		
	UCx source in ALTO: 3 weeks of production. Price: 40k€.		
	PAC: meeting once per year (chair: Rick Casten) Backlog = 3 months		
2	LNL	E Eagotti	
	See corresponding presentation.	L. I agotti	
	The Legnaro machines will be unavailable during 1,5 years from 2016 to 2017. Important for ENSAR2.		
	Main problem: manpower.		
	Political question: how many time dedicated to stable beams once SPES will be		
	running? Some beam time will be dedicated to produce radioisotopes.		
	PAC: meeting twice per year (chair: Santo Lunardi)		
3	LNS	D. Rifuggiato	
	See corresponding presentation.		
	Total beam time: 270 + 85 + 60 BTU		
	Applications: mainly radiobiology		
	Proton therapy: 62 MeV (too low for Carbon therapy). 300 irradiated patients		
	New project to be installed in a hospital in Catana (250 MeV protons)		
	¹⁴ C: possible collaboration between LNS and IPNO		
	PAC: meeting once per year (chair: Rémi Bougault) Backlog = 0 up to now.		
4	IFJ PAN + HIL	B. Fornal	
	See corresponding presentation.		
	Uranium target: also a possibility for fission studies at IFJ PAN		
	First use of industrial cyclotron for fundamental nuclear physics? Usually used in		

	medical centres.	
	Beam spot: 1cm vs 6 mm.	
	Warsaw PAC: meeting twice per year	
	Krakow: User Board that may evolve into a PAC	
5		P Heikkinen
5		
	See corresponding presentation.	
	Students are running the accelerator during nights and weekends. The beam changes are done during weekdays by operators.	
	The budget coming from commercial activities covers student salaries and other expenses.	
	PAC: meeting twice per year (chair: Sean Freeman)	
6	GANIL	F. Chautard
	See corresponding presentation.	
	2013: about 3500 hours of beam time instead of 5000 hours	
	Same system of micro-oven for metallic beams in Catana.	
	Development of a Ti beam.	
	PAC: meeting once per year	
7	Operation And Experiments At GSI	U. Scheeler &
	See corresponding presentation.	Y. Leifeis
	The patient treatment is done in Heidelberg. The GSI team is focusing now on fundamental research in biophysics.	
	No beam time in 2013. 6 months foreseen in 2014 (mostly for FAIR developments)	
	FLAIR: low energy anti-proton facility. Its synchrotron is currently built at GSI. Not completely financed.	
	No clear future for FRS. Super-FRS will win in intensity in comparison with FRS.	
	GSI All-PAC: meeting once per year (chair: Paolo Giubellino)	
8	Discussion	all
	The community has to involved manpower in projects, shut down infrastructures and build new ones.	
	Riken: only infrastructure currently fully available for stable and radioactive beams.	
	How to enhance the quality of collaboration between infrastructures – ideas:	
	 Map of stable ion beams (in progress at GANIL) Brochure on European facilities producing stable ion beams. This brochure will be available on NuPECC web site. Each lab will have to provide 2-3 ideas about 	

_		
I	accelerator activities on fundamental physics and 2-3 ideas about application activities.	
	- Need to exchange of information in order to optimize work, to avoid duplicate	
	way.	У
	- Workshop every 2 years to discuss issues (facility running, improvement of	
	of this kind. This workshop would gather engineers and physicists. The workshop	
	may be a natural continuation of the first facility meeting. The aim of this workshop	
	should be underlined: the main subject should be improvements on the basis of requests.	
L		
	Question to participants	
	Was it useful to come to the ECOS Facility Meeting?	
	What could be improved?	
1		